UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,808	01/27/2004	Huang-Ming Chen	N1085-00256 [TSMC2003-08	2454
	7590 10/08/200 RIS LLP (TSMC)	EXAMINER		
IP DEPARTME	ENT	MOORE, KARLA A		
30 SOUTH 17TH STREET PHILADELPHIA, PA 19103-4196			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/08/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/765,808	CHEN ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		

	RANLA WOORE	1792					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 29 September 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.					
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi ral (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abai t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	which places the r (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing	date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	g date of the final rejection	on.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	iance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the					
AMENDMENTS							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor	sideration and/or search (see NO		cause				
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	•						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in beti appeal; and/or			ne issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a c		ected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1	,						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 1-7,9-12 and 29-32. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 8 and 33. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		I be entered and an e	xplanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>IDS dated 9/29/08</u> .							
	/Karla Moore/ Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 1792					

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

During the 8/21/08 interview Examiner indicated that amendments to bring claim 8 closer to the scope of allowed claim 8, would be considered formally at the time of formal submission. As agreed previously, the newly proposed language of claim 8 is similar to language already present in claim 1. However, Examiner does not believe that the proposed language brings claim 8 close enough in line with claim 1, such that it can also be rendered automatically allowable. As pointed out in the interview, there are differences in the structure of claim 1 and claim 8, even if the proposed language was entered that require the claims are considered differently. For example, claim 1 requires "at least a portion of said focus ring substantially continuously extends directly underneath a peripheral portion of said chuck", whereas, claim 8, alternatively, as proposed, requires "a lower focus ring disposed completely below said upper focus ring and directly underneath a peripheral portion of said chuck". Examiner is of the opinion that the proposed amended claims would require further search and/or consideration before a final determination of allowability can be rendered because of these differences.